Abstract — In this paper the capacity of MIMO systems is investigated for different realistic propagation scenarios in Rician fading channel. A nonparametric stochastic model is presented and it is used to develop the spatial fading correlation. Uplink statistical MIMO channel is assumed with Laplacian angular energy distributed over multi-clusters situations. Radio waves that are gathered in several clusters distributed over the space domain are assumed to exhibit different power profiles. Capacity investigations for both uniform linear array (ULA) and uniform circular array (UCA) for single cluster and multi-clustered assumptions are presented. The optimum selection of number of elements, spacing between elements are discussed for different values of Angel of Arrival (AoA) and Azimuth Spread (AS). Also, the impact of $K$ factor of the Rician distribution for single and multi-clusters environments is studied under different propagation scenarios.

I. INTRODUCTION

The spatial fading correlation of the channel has an adverse effect on the capacity of Multiple Input Multiple Output (MIMO) systems [1]. Accurately computing the spatial correlations is essential for predicting system performance. However, it is always a challenging task to evaluate the Realistic correlation matrices with high accuracy due to the interdependence between the propagation environment and the antenna geometry and clustering of scatterers in the propagation environment. In [2]–[7], stochastic none physical MIMO models with different assumptions about the scattering in the propagation environment and antenna geometries are considered. In these statistical clustered vector channel models, the groups of scatterers are modeled as clusters located around the antenna arrays. In [2] the parametric stochastic MIMO channel model is presented to estimate the performance of MIMO systems in terms of theoretical capacity. Cross-correlation functions of the received signal at two antenna elements with three different PAS (uniform, truncated Gaussian and truncated Laplacian). Exact expressions of the spatial correlation coefficients were derived for the different spatial distributions of AOD/AOA only for uniform linear arrays (ULAs). The model is applied for two case studies employing ULA array type, one for single cluster case and the other for bi-cluster assumption. For the antenna geometry selection, ULA is the most common geometry in cellular systems. However, UCA shows potential as an alternative geometry with its enhanced properties. Analysis of fading correlation was carried out for UCA in [3], [4] and [5]. In the previous works the authors consider single cluster model in Rayleigh channel. It is also known that the presence of LOS component along with the correlation between channel
links may affect the capacity of the MIMO system [8]. In [8] analytical multivariable statistics is presented to obtain the upper bound for the pairwise error probability of the system under space time correlation Rician fast fading channel. However, the effect of Rician $K$ factor on the capacity curves of correlated fading using simulation models need to be more investigated.

Thus, according to the previous discussion, the objective of this paper is to study the impact of having multi-clusters propagation scenarios and compares it with single cluster case for MIMO systems utilizing Hybrid ULA/UCA configuration at both ends of Rician fading channel. This paper addresses the accuracy when modelling the spatially correlated MIMO channel using clustered channel model (that is developed from the models presented in [2]-[7]). The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the nonparametric clustered MIMO model and special correlation matrix calculations are provided. In section III, the numerical results are presented for practical uplink MIMO system simulations with a detailed discussion for the numerical results. Some remarks will be carried out to highlight the effect of the choice of modelling assumptions on the capacity curves and the model applicability for practical systems. Finally, conclusions are derived in Section IV.

II. SPATIAL FADING CORRELATION AND CLUSTERED CHANNEL MODELS

Fig. 1 shows a MIMO uplink scenario that is modeled in our investigations with transmitter at the mobile unite (MU) and receiver at the base station (BS). The channel is modeled as multi-clusters scattering environment which means that the signal will arrive at the BS from multiple Angles of arrival (AoA) each with angle spread (AS) that is a measure of the angle displacement due to the non-LOS propagation.

As shown, ($M_t$) and ($M_r$) elements for transmit and receive arrays respectively is considered. The system is deploying ULA at MU, and a UCA or ULA at BS. The receive antenna UCA radius is assumed to be varied within the lower and upper bounds $\{R_1, R_2\}$. Different number of elements $M_r$ will be considered and uniformly spaced on either ULA/UCA configurations. Consider a signal arriving at the receive array from angle of arrival $\theta$ note that $\Theta_{\text{I}} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \Theta$. Thus, if a signal of interest can be described by the summation of signal arriving from angles with distribution $p(\Theta)$, then we know that the spatial correlation between two points a distance $d$ apart can be determined as:

$$\rho(d) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{\frac{i2\pi d}{\lambda} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \Theta\right)} p(\Theta) d\Theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

For UCA this relation will be as follows

$$\rho(d) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{\frac{i2\pi d}{\lambda} \cos(\Theta - \phi)} p(\Theta) d\Theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $p(\Theta)$ is considered here is any one of the there distributions for multi-clustered Power Azimuth Spectrum (PAS), uniform, Gaussian and Laplacian [2], taking into account the unbalanced power in different clusters. In this paper, Laplacian distribution
is considered where it is the best fit to measurement results in urban and rural areas. A multiclustered Laplacian PAS can be written as:

$$ p_\Theta(\theta) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_c} K_k e^{-a|\theta - \theta_{ok}|} $$

(3)

where \((-\pi + \theta_{ok} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{ok} + \pi\), \(\theta_{ok}\) is the cluster \(k\) mean direction of arrival (AOA), \(N_c\) is the number of clusters. \(K_k = \frac{a}{1-e^{-an}}\), is the normalization factor for each cluster to make \(p_\Theta(\theta)\) a density function and \(a\) is a decay factor which is related to the angle spread (AS). For ULA, the real and imaginary parts of the receiving spatial correlation between \(m\)th and \(n\)th elements using the integral in equ. (1) are given in [2] for the three PAS distributions. Also, in [4] it is shown that receiving correlation coefficients for UCA can be expressed by solving the integral in equ. (2) and by applying truncated Laplacian distributed as

$$ Re[R_{sr}(m,n)] = j \int_{\theta_{ok}-\alpha}^{\theta_{ok}+\alpha} e^{-a|\theta - \theta_{ok}|} \cos[2k(\theta + \alpha)] $$

(4)

$$ Im[R_{sr}(m,n)] = 4K \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(1-e^{-an})}{a^2+4k^2} j^{2k+1}(Z_c) \sin[(2k+1)(\theta + \alpha)] $$

(5)

where \(Z_c\) is related to antenna spacing and \(\alpha\) is the relative angle between the \(m\)th and \(n\)th. For the ULA transmitting side, it is assumed that the mean angle of departure \(\theta_t\) is uniformly distributed over \([0, 2\pi]\) that is given in [3] and it can be expressed as:

$$ R_{st}(p,q) = j \int_{\theta_t(p,q)}^{\theta_t(p+q)} e^{-a(\theta - \theta_t)} \frac{1}{\lambda} $$

(6)

where \(D_r\) is the distance between elements \(p\) and \(q\). The uplink spatial fading correlation \(R_s(mp,nq)\) is the spatial correlation between the link from transmit antenna \(p\) to receive antenna \(m\) and the link from transmit antenna \(q\) to receive antenna \(n\). Defining \(R_{st}(p,q)\) and \(R_{sr}(m,n)\) as the spatial correlation due to the transmitter and receiver antennas respectively. Since the two links are statistically independent then the link spatial correlation can be divided into transmit part and receive part as

$$ R_s(mp,nq) = R_{st}(m,n) \times R_{sr}(p,q) $$

(7)

The correlated Rician Fading MIMO channel Matrix, \((T)\) with dimensions \((M_t \times M_r)\) at one instance of time can be modelled as a fixed (constant, LOS) matrix and a Rayleigh (variable, NLOS) matrix.

$$ T = \frac{K}{1+K} H_{rr} + \frac{K}{1+K} R_t^{1/2} H_w R_t^{1/2} $$

(8)

where \(H_w\) are zero mean and unit variance complex Gaussian random variables that presents the coefficients of the variable NLOS matrix. \(K\) is the Rician \(K\)-factor and \(R_t\) and \(R_r\) are the \(M_r \times M_r\) and \(M_t \times M_t\) correlation matrices that include all possible coefficients of spatial correlations between the channel links seen at transmit and receive elements respectively. Assuming equal power transmission across the array elements at the transmitter, the capacity of MIMO system can be computed from:

$$ C = \log_2 \left[ \det \left( I_{M_t} + \frac{SNR}{M_t} T T^H \right) \right] $$

(9)

where \(T\) is the complex matrix given from (8), SNR is the average signal to noise ratio, and \(I_{M_t}\) is the identity matrix with dimensions \(M_t \times M_t\).

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, the numerical analysis of spatial fading correlation and capacity investigations are presented for practical uplink application that is shown in Fig. 1. The Impact of receiving antenna elements separation (in case of ULA) or circle radius (in case of UCA) on spatial correlations for single and two-clustered at different AoA and AS is illustrated in Figs 2, 3, 4 and 5. Fig. 2
shows the spatial correlation $R_s(21,11)$ for 4 elements ULA receiver when the arriving signal is concentrated in single cluster, when the mean AoA, $\theta= 0, 45$ and $90$ for two values of the variance of angular distributions, $AS=2$ and $20$. As expected $R_s$ decreases as $D_r$ increases and as AS increases. The same is also observed for UCA in Fig 3 where $R_s(21,11)$ is plotted versus the radius of 4 elements UCA. Comparing Figs 2 and 3, It is seen that ULA experiences the lowest $R_s$ at $\theta=90$ (broadside case, i.e the signal is arriving normal to array line) while the highest values is observed at endfire case. However, for the UCA lower bound correlation curve is found to be at $\theta=45$, while the higher bound curves are observed at $\theta= 0$ and $90$ having the same values. Fig. 4 and 5 show the $R_s(21,11)$ for 4 elements ULA and UCA receivers verse elements spacing and array circle radius respectively for 2 clusters case. Note that $R_s(21,11)$ and $R_s(31,41)$ are equal, since spacing and orientation between elements 1, 2 is the same as 3, 4. Here, AoA distribution is generated by two dominant reflectors, where signals impinge the antenna from two clusters that is assumed to be $\{[0, 0], [-45, 45], [-90, 90]\}$. $R_s$ is computed by applying bi-modal PAS function for the laplacian distribution using equ. (3) considering $N_c=2$. As seen in Fig 4 and 5 the correlation function has an oscillation term that depends on the difference between the AoA’s of the two modes. As seen, the oscillation is slow versus $D_r$ for ULA and versus $R$ for UCA if the angles are close such as [-45, 45] case and the oscillation is faster when the two AoAs separation is large as the case of [-90, 90]. Comparing the envelope of the correlation function for both configurations with two clusters in Figs. 4 and 5 it can be seen that the envelop correlation $R_s$ has lower values for UCA when compared to the ULA values. Next, Figs 6, 7 and 8 presents capacity investigations for both ULA and UCA in single and multi-clustered for various number of elements, spacing between elements, AoAs and AS. Fig 6 shows the ergodic capacity of the UCA and the ULA at the receiver as function of $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ (AoA). These results are performed for $4\times4$ MIMO system and for 10000 channel realizations. To have a fair comparison between the two geometries, aperture size should be made the same (i.e. diameter of UCA = length of ULA). Thus, for ULA spacing $D_r=0.5\lambda$, the UCA radius is assumed to be $R=0.75\lambda$. Note that the elements are distributed evenly over both arrays. In this figure, capacity is investigated for AS = 2 (low) and AS=20 (high) while SNR is fixed at 15 dB for the two cases. As shown, the UCA outperforms the ULA in particular for small angle spread at endfire ($\theta_i=90^\circ$). However, the ULA has nearly same performance as UCA for certain angles-of-arrival (e.g., near broadside of the ULA $\theta_i = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$). It is also noted that the UCA has its lowest capacity for at $\theta_i=45^\circ$ and $135^\circ$ since in these two case two elements are directly behind and parallel to the other two elements (strongly correlated), this can be observed more at high AS. Fig. 7 illustrates variations of ergodic capacity (at SNR=15 dB) versus variations of UCA radius in the range from $\{R_1=0.1\lambda\} \text{ to } \{R_2=\lambda\}$ as shown in Fig. 1, this is performed for $4\timesM_r$ MIMO system, where $M_r$ is assumed to be $\{2, 4 \text{ and } 8\}$ for different number of clusters $\{1, 2, 3 \text{ and } 5\}$ with Mean AOAs are $\{[90], [45, 90], [45, 90, 135], \text{and } [0, 45, 90, 135, 180]\}$ respectively. All clusters are assumed to have $\text{AS}=10$. As can be seen in Fig 6, capacity increases as radius of the UCA increases where the elements experience lower correlation. Also, higher capacity is observed when more clusters are included in the model, this effect increases as the number of elements increases, and this is clear when comparing curves for 2, 4 and 8 elements. It is also seen that we can say that for 5 cluster model the capacity reach its maximum and become stable at radius $R_s=0.2\lambda$, $0.3\lambda$ and $0.5\lambda$ for 2, 4 and 8 elements respectively. Thus, These curves can be used to achieve the optimum compactness for practical antennas.
It is also noted that when $R_c < 0.6\lambda$, the system with $M_r=4$ elements has higher capacity values when including multi-clusters (2, 3 and 5) more than the $M_r=8$ system that is modeled assuming one cluster. These results show the impact of multi-cluster modeling on the design of compact antenna arrays with optimum system capacity. Other multi-clusters scenarios are presented in Fig. 8 to investigate capacity for signals arriving at multi-clusters with different AOAs and different amplitudes. Assuming the signals impinge at a relative mean AoAs at $\theta_c={90^\circ}$ for the single cluster case, $\theta_c={90, \phi}$ and with PAS amplitudes equal $\{a1, a2\}$ for the two cluster case and $\theta_c={0+\phi, 90, 180-\phi}$ with PAS amplitudes equal $\{a1, a2, a3\}$ respectively for three cluster case, $\phi$ is varied in the range $[0^\circ:180^\circ]$. The capacities for the three cases are plotted versus $\phi$ at AS=10 and SNR=15 dB. As can be seen, in general, the capacity increases for 2 and 3 clusters cases compared to 1 cluster case. The capacity of 2nd clusters case increases as $\phi$ gets far from $90^\circ$ (the mean AOA of the 1st cluster). It is noted that the 2 and 3 clusters capacity curves has oscillations across the AOA values (due to the oscillations of the spatial correlation function). Also, envelops for 2 cluster cases curves increases as the relative amplitude of the PAS of the 2nd cluster (a2) increases from 0.1 to 0.9. It is found that the 3 clusters case with equal PAS amplitudes, is an upper bound of the 1 and 2 clusters cases with highest capacity when mean AOAs at $\{0, 90, 180\}$. Capacity of MIMO systems employing UCA at the receiver in Rician fading channels is analyzed with multi-clustered approach and presented in Figs 9 and 10. Fig. 9 plots complementary cumulative distribution function (c.c.d.f.) of the capacities of $4\times4$ MIMO cases for different Rician distribution K-factor $\{0, 10, 1000\}$. For each K factor value the capacity is computed for 1, 2, 3 and 5 clusters assuming the corresponding mean AOAs are $\{90\}$, $\{45, 90\}$, $\{45, 90, 135\}$, and $\{0, 45, 90, 135, 180\}$ respectively. The simulation parameters are AS=10, SNR = 15 dB and 10000 channel implementations. As shown, increasing the K factor reduces the capacity of the system. This is because the increase in K emphasizes the deterministic part of the channel in equ. (8) and increase the impact of LOS component existence that is not preferable for MIMO systems. It is also observed that for multi-cluster propagations capacity increases as number of clusters increases specially for K=0 (Rayleigh fading) and low K factor values with the slopes of c.c.d.f. curves increases as K factor increase. Also, it can be seen that the impact of multi-cluster propagations on capacity is reduced as K factor increases as the difference between c.c.d.f. curves decreases and it become all overlapped for the K=1000 (Gaussian propagation case). Similar observations are obtained from Fig. 10 that present 0.1 outage capacity $(C_{0.1})$ against SNR. As expected, capacities increase increases linearly with SNR. Highest capacity curve is obtained K=0 and number of clusters =3 and lowest capacity curve is the one for K=1000 with single cluster. The effect of K can be considered as an equivalent loss or gain in the SNR for a given fixed capacity. For example, assume single cluster MIMO system is required to have $C_{0.1}=15$ b/s/Hz with K=1000 then SNR=16 is required. However, the same outage capacity can be achieved for K=10 channel with SNR=28. This means that 14 dB SNR loss is experienced to get the same MIMO system capacity at different fading channels K=10 and 1000.

IV. CONCLUSION

Simulating Realistic correlated MIMO channel that is essential to predict the performance of real MIMO systems was the objective of this paper. Thus, the spatial fading correlation and the channel capacity of a $M_t\times M_r$ MIMO system using multi-clustered statistical clustered channel model are investigated. Results are presented for various hybrid ULA/UCA antenna configurations at different channel assumptions. Uniformly
spaced Linear and circular arrays are compared showing that UCA outperform ULA on average for same aperture size. It is discussed that the system with less number of elements could have a higher capacity values when including multi-clusters than systems with higher number of elements that is modeled assuming one cluster. Thus it is concluded that multi-cluster based approach should be considered when performing capacity investigations as it gives more accurate results than the single cluster case. This could lead to more optimum designs in the search for compact antennas. Results show that the central AOAs of the multi-clusters can have a significant impact on the capacity performance. Also, it is shown that Rician fading reduces the capacity gains in comparison to the fully scattering Rayleigh fading where the K factor effect on the capacity can be considered as SNR loss or gain. multi-cluster propagations capacity increases as number of clusters increases for low K (Rician) and K=0 (Rayleigh) channels. Finally, as shown in the paper the impact of multi-cluster propagations on capacity is reduced as K factor increases.
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Fig. 5. Spatial correlation versus Radius of the array circle for elements (3,4)/(1,2) for UCA configuration for laplacian distribution with 2 clusters have AS=20, \( \text{AoA} = \{0, 45, -45, 90\} \).

Fig. 6. Ergodic Capacity versus AoA, one cluster case, 4x4 MIMO ULA and UCA system, SNR=15 dB.

Fig. 7. Ergodic capacity versus Radius (in wavelength) of UCA for 4x4 MIMO system, where \( M_r = 2, 4 \) and 8, AS=10, SNR=15 dB.

Fig. 8. Ergodic Capacity versus AoA, for 4x4 MIMO system UCA with AS=10 and SNR =15 dB. 1, 2 and 3 clusters, 1 cluster AoA=90, 2 clusters AoA=\{90, \phi\}, 3 clusters=\{0+\phi, 90, 180-\phi\}.

Fig. 9. Complementary CDF of the capacities of single and multi-clusters 4x4 MIMO cases, at different K-factor [0,10,1000] and SNR=15 dB, AS=10.

Fig. 10. Capacity vs. SNR for single and 3-clusters 4x4 MIMO cases, at different K-factor [0,10,1000], AS=10.
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